UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,938	12/12/2005	Norbert Grunenberg	3926.130	2184
41288 PATENT CEN'	7590 07/09/200 TRAL LLC	EXAMINER		
Stephan A. Pen		KERNS, KEVIN P		
1401 Hollywood Boulevard Hollywood, FL 33020			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pendorf@patentcentral.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/521,938	GRUNENBERG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin P. Kerns	1793
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examination.	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2005 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Pection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli iority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

In this instance, "The invention relates to a" (which is a phrase that can be implied) should be replaced with "A". In addition, the legal terms "consisting of", "Said", "comprises" and "comprise" are present throughout the abstract.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: in the 1st line, replace "the" with "a" before "high-pressure" to obtain proper antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1793

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murakami et al. (US 5,474,040).

Murakami et al. disclose a cast cylinder block with cylinder crankcase for an internal combustion engine (see Figures 2-4 and 6-8), in which the cylinder crankcase includes a continuous row of four cylinder barrels (bore wall structures 2) cast into the crankcase, such that the barrels 2 comprise a casting within the crankcase and at least one water jacket 15 (Figures 3, 6, and 7) that further include coolant channels (13,14) arranged through a web region (common wall portion 5) of the crankcase, such that the at least one water jacket 15 is at least partially closed via lower bridge (11,11') with respect to a side of the cylinder crankcase that faces a cylinder head, or the upper portions of Figures 3, 6, and 7 -- and as defined in Figure 2 of the application as the "cylinder head side 18" (abstract; column 1, lines 57-67; column 2, lines 1-15; column 3, lines 35-67; column 4, lines 1-31; column 5, lines 42-58; and Figure 2-4 and 6-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1793

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami et al. (US 5,474,040) in view of Fischer et al. (US 6,354,259).

Murakami et al. disclose the features of above claims 1 and 2. Murakami et al. do not specifically disclose the use of gray cast iron and hypereutectic aluminum-silicon alloy in casting the row of cylinder barrel inserts or liners, as well as the thermally sprayed layer on the cylinder barrels.

However, Fischer et al. disclose a method of manufacturing a cylinder liner, in which the method includes providing gray cast iron and hypereutectic aluminum-silicon alloys in die casting of cylinder liners, and having properties of wear and frictional load resistance, by thermally spraying of layers 2-5 (Figure), for the purpose of economically coating and improving the wear resistance (abstract; column 1, lines 33-48; column 2, lines 8-14 and 19-67; column 3, line 1 through column 4, line 8; and Figure).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicants' invention was made to modify the features of the cast cylinder block with cylinder crankcase for an internal combustion engine, as disclosed by Murakami et al., by using the gray cast iron and hypereutectic aluminum-silicon alloy along with the thermally sprayed layer on the cylinder barrels, as taught by Fischer et al., in order to obtain economical coating and to improve the wear resistance (Fischer et al.; column 1, lines 40-48; column 3, lines 58-67; and column 4, lines 1-8).

8. Claims 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami et al. (US 5,474,040) in view of Baltz et al. (US 6,298,899).

Murakami et al. disclose the features of above claims 1 and 2. Murakami et al. do not specifically disclose the use of a lost core or a water jacket core in casting the row of cylinder barrel inserts or liners.

However, Baltz et al. disclose a method of making a water jacket core assembly, in which the method includes providing a lost core assembly 10 including pre-formed bridge cores 14 at web regions between the cylinder barrels or cylinder bores 16 in casting the row of cylinder barrels, for the purpose of effectively producing a double-walled cylinder insert containing a water jacket and having accurate cooling channels or passages at the thinner web regions between the cylinder barrels (abstract; column 3, lines 15-27 and 47-67; column 4, line 1 through column 6, line 5; and Figures 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicants' invention was made to modify the features of the cast cylinder block with

Art Unit: 1793

cylinder crankcase for an internal combustion engine, as disclosed by Murakami et al., by using the lost core or water jacket core assembly in casting the row of cylinder barrels, as taught by Baltz et al., in order to effectively produce a double-walled cylinder insert containing a water jacket with accurate cooling channels or passages at the thinner web regions between the cylinder barrels (Baltz et al.; abstract; column 5, lines 39-56; and column 6, lines 1-5).

9. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami et al. (US 5,474,040) in view of Baltz et al. (US 6,298,899), and further in view of Fischer et al. (US 6,354,259).

Murakami et al. (in view of Baltz et al.) disclose and/or suggest the features of above claim 7. Neither Murakami et al. nor Baltz et al. specifically discloses the use of gray cast iron and hypereutectic aluminum-silicon alloy in casting the row of cylinder barrel inserts or liners, as well as the thermally sprayed layer on the cylinder barrels.

However, Fischer et al. disclose a method of manufacturing a cylinder liner, in which the method includes providing gray cast iron and hypereutectic aluminum-silicon alloys in die casting of cylinder liners, and having properties of wear and frictional load resistance, by thermally spraying of layers 2-5 (Figure), for the purpose of economically coating and improving the wear resistance (abstract; column 1, lines 33-48; column 2, lines 8-14 and 19-67; column 3, line 1 through column 4, line 8; and Figure).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicants' invention was made to modify the features of the cast cylinder block with

Art Unit: 1793

cylinder crankcase for an internal combustion engine, as disclosed by Murakami et al., by using the lost core or water jacket core assembly in casting the row of cylinder barrels, as taught by Baltz et al., in order to effectively produce a double-walled cylinder insert containing a water jacket with accurate cooling channels or passages at the thinner web regions between the cylinder barrels, and by further using the gray cast iron and hypereutectic aluminum-silicon alloy along with the thermally sprayed layer on the cylinder barrels, as taught by Fischer et al., in order to obtain economical coating and to improve the wear resistance (Fischer et al.; column 1, lines 40-48; column 3, lines 58-67; and column 4, lines 1-8).

Response to Arguments

- 10. The examiner acknowledges the applicants' amendment received by the USPTO on April 21, 2008. The amendments overcome the prior objections to the specification and claims. However, upon review, new objections to the abstract and claim 7 are raised in above sections 1 and 2. The amendments and arguments are persuasive in overcoming the prior 35 USC 102(b) and 35 USC 103(a) rejections. Claims 1-12 remain under consideration in the application.
- 11. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1793

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571)272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jessica Ward can be reached on (571) 272-1223. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin P. Kerns Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793

/Kevin P. Kerns/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793 June 30, 2008